



The International Quarterly Multidisciplinary Research Journal adheres to Q1-level academic research standards. Here is a detailed template with suggested word counts for each section.

Academic Book Review Template for CPIJ:

Title: [Book Title]

Author: [Author's Name]

Genre: [Genre]

Publication Details:

- Publisher: [Publisher's Name]
 - Year of Publication: [Year]
 - ISBN: [ISBN Number]
-

Introduction: 150-300 words

- Hook and Relevance:
Start with a compelling statement or question that highlights the relevance of the book in its field. Connect it to current debates or research gaps.
 - Purpose and Scope:
Briefly explain the purpose of the book and its scope within the context of the field. Mention the intended audience (e.g., scholars, practitioners, students).
-

Summary of Content: 300-500 words

- Overview:
Provide a succinct overview of the book's content, including its main arguments, structure, and the key themes or topics covered.
 - Chapter Breakdown:
Summarize the main points of each chapter or section, highlighting significant contributions to the field.
-

Critical Analysis: 500-1000 words

Contribution to the Field:

- Evaluate the book's contribution to existing literature. How does it advance knowledge, theory, or practice?
- Discuss its originality and the significance of its findings or arguments.

Methodology:

- Analyze the research methods or theoretical framework used by the author for appropriateness?
- Discuss any innovative approaches and their effectiveness.

Strengths:

- Highlight the book's strengths, such as clarity of argument, depth of research, or quality of writing.
- Provide examples or quotations to support your points.

Weaknesses and Limitations:

- Critically assess any weaknesses or limitations. Consider aspects like potential biases, gaps in the research, or areas that could have been explored further.
 - Suggest ways these limitations could have been addressed.
-





Thematic and Contextual Analysis: 300-500 words

- Theoretical Context: Place the book within the broader theoretical context.
How does it relate to other works in the field?
- Practical Implications: Discuss the practical implications of the book's findings or arguments.
How might they impact policy, practice, or future research?
- Interdisciplinary Relevance: Consider the book's relevance to other disciplines.
Does it offer insights that extend beyond its primary field?

Personal Reflection and Recommendation: 200-350 words

Critical Reflection:

- Reflect on your personal engagement with the book.
- How did it challenge or reinforce your own views or knowledge?

Recommendation:

Recommend the book to specific audiences, such as researchers, educators, or professionals.

Justify your recommendation based on the book's content and contribution.

Conclusion: 150-250 words

Summary of Evaluation:

Summarize your overall evaluation of the book, reiterating its main contributions and any significant weaknesses.

Future Directions:

Suggest directions for future research inspired by the book.

References: As needed (not included in the word count)

Citations:

Include full citations for any works referenced in your review.

Ensure adherence to the journal's preferred citation style.

About the Reviewer: 50-100 words

Provide a brief biography, your academic credentials, current position, and research interests.

Word Limit:

For a book review in a Scopus Q1 journal, the inline word count generally ranges between 1,500 to 3,000 words. This range ensures a comprehensive and critical analysis suitable for high-impact academic publications.

This template ensures that the book review is thorough, critical, and aligned with the expectations of a Q1 journal. It emphasizes scholarly analysis, methodological rigor, and the book's contribution to the field, all of which are crucial for publication in a high-impact academic journal.

